	Adam J. Krolikowski (SBN 202946)	
2	Patricia Gandy (SBN 252824) Woods & Krolikowski 1200 Main Street, Suite H	FILED
3	Irvine CA 92614 Tel. (949)269-1869	MAR 1 5 2010
4	Fax. (949)269-1868	Superior Court of California County of Tuolumne
	Attorney for Plaintiff JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA	By Donna Benz
6	COSETTE: VERTINIGEIA	Clerk
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE	
10	JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA,) Case No. <i>QV55495</i>
11	Plaintiff,))
12		O COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR:
13	v.) (1) VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE § 290.46, ET SEQ.; (2) NEGLIGENT INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE
14		
	ODD FELLOWS SIERRA HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a business organization	
	form unknown; ODD FELLOWS SIERRA RECREATION ASSOCIATION, INC., and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	Demand Exceeds \$25,000
19) Jury Trial Demanded)
20		
21	COMES NOW Plaintiff JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA (hereinafter referred to as "Mr.	
22	Ventimiglia" or "Plaintiff") complains and alleges as follows:	
23	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS	
24	1. This is a civil action brought by Mr. Ventimiglia against ODD FELLOWS SIERRA	
25	HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a business organization form unknown; ODD FELLOWS	
26	SIERRA RECREATION ASSOCIATION, INC.; and other responsible parties which names are	
27	unknown at this time (collectively referred to as "Defendants.")	
28	/ //	
	COMPLAINT OF JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA	TUOLUMNE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

4 5

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On or about November 2007, Mr. Ventimiglia began living in a cabin on a subdivision owned and operated by Defendants. Mr. Ventimiglia lived there without incident for over sixteen months.
- 13. While living at Defendants' subdivision, Mr. Ventimiglia provided services in the form of odd jobs to other residents of the subdivision as well as surrounding cities. He developed a substantial customer base.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that on or about April of 2009, Defendants became aware that Mr. Ventimiglia's picture and/or information was on the Megan's Law Website.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that between April of 2009 and December of 2009, Defendants made prohibited use of the information from the Megan's Law Website concerning Mr. Ventimiglia, by using the information for purposes relating to employment, benefits, privileges, or services provided by any business. Defendants use of the Megan's Law Website information is a "use of information disclosed pursuant to this section for purposes other than those provided by paragraph (1) or in violation of paragraph (2)" making Defendants liable for damages per Penal Code § 290.46(1)(4).
- of Directors of Defendants, using information from the Megan's Law Website, disseminated such information to the residents of Defendants' subdivision and the residents of the neighboring cities in order to preclude Mr. Ventimiglia from working for anybody there or in the surrounding areas, voted to stop Mr. Ventimiglia from being employed by the Defendants, voted to have Mr. Ventimiglia's name removed from advertising on Defendants website and pressured Plaintiff's landlord to evict Mr. Ventimiglia.
- 17. California law specifically prohibits using the information published on the Megan's Law Website "for purposes other than those provided by paragraph (1) or in violation of paragraph (2)" of Penal Code § 290.46(1).

28

- 18. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were aware of their obligations under Megan's Law and California's Penal Code.
- 19. Defendants knew or should have known their actions, including but not limited to the use of information regarding Mr. Ventimiglia contained on the Megan's Law Website for purposes related to benefits, privileges, or services provided by any business establishment, were illegal and in blatant disregard of Penal Code §§ 290.4 and 290.46.
- 20. As a result of the events described herein, Mr. Ventimiglia has suffered considerable damages, including but not limited to loss of income, loss of benefits, significant emotional and physical suffering, as well as pain for the affront to his dignity as a human being.
- 21. Defendants acted willfully and in reckless disregard of the law by making prohibited use of Megan's Law Website information concerning Mr. Ventimiglia, clearly violating the California Penal Code. Such conduct entitles Mr. Ventimiglia to recover compensatory, exemplary and punitive damages.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Penal Code §§ 290.4 and 290.46 et seq.

[Against all Defendants]

- 22. Mr. Ventimiglia incorporates paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, as though set forth and alleged herein in their entirety.
- 23. The events described herein constitute a violation of Penal Code sections 290.4 and 290.46, et seq., to wit Defendants made prohibited use of information from the Megan's Law Website concerning Mr. Ventimiglia.
- 24. Defendants' prohibited use included, but is not limited to, Mr. Ventimiglia housing, employment, the benefits, privileges, or services provided by Defendants, as well as the harassment and humiliation of Mr. Ventimiglia in the community.
- 25. Defendants shall compensate all the damages they have caused, including but not limited to general damages, special damages, civil penalty, exemplary damages, and attorneys fees per statute. Damages sought pursuant to this cause of action are in addition to relief sought for the following cause(s) of action.

1

2

3

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

23

22

2425

26

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Interference With Prospective Economic Advantage

[Against all Defendants]

- 26. Mr. Ventimiglia incorporates paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as though set forth and alleged herein in their entirety.
- 27. Mr. Ventimiglia claims that Defendants intentionally and/or negligently interfered with economic relationships between Mr. Ventimiglia and his customer base consisting of Defendants, residents of Defendants' subdivision and the surrounding areas, that probably would have resulted in an economic benefit to Mr. Ventimiglia.
- 28. Mr. Ventimiglia and Defendants, several residents of Defendants' subdivision and residents of neighboring cities were in an economic relationships that probably would have resulted in an economic benefit to Mr. Ventimiglia.
- 29. Mr. Ventimiglia is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants knew of the relationships between Mr. Ventimiglia and Defendants, residents of Defendants' subdivision and residents of neighboring cities.
- 30. Defendants intended to disrupt these relationships or should have known the relationships would be disrupted through their above-described acts.
- 31. Defendants engaged in wrongful conduct through prohibited use of Megan's Law Website information concerning Mr. Ventimiglia in violation of Penal Code § 290.46.
- 32. Defendants have a statutory duty to not make prohibited use of Megan's Law Website pursuant to Penal Code § 290.46.
- 33. The relationships between Mr. Ventimiglia and the Defendants, the residents of Defendants' subdivision and residents of neighboring cities were disrupted.
- 34. Defendants' wrongful conduct was a substantial factor causing and/or the proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
- 35. Mr. Ventimiglia was harmed in excess of \$25,000 as a result of the disputed relationships.

1 **PRAYER** 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA demands a jury trial and prays for 3 judgment as follows: ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: 4 5 1. General damages in excess of \$25,000; 2. 6 Three times general damages per statute; 7 3. Special damages in excess of \$25,000; 8 4. Economic damages in excess of \$25,000; 9 5. Consequential damages in excess of \$25,000; 10 6. Exemplary damages per statute in excess of \$25,000; 7. 11 Civil penalty of \$25,000; 12 8. Interest on the sum of damages awarded; 13 9. Reasonable attorneys fees per statute; 14 10. Costs incurred; and 15 11. Any and all other relief deemed appropriate by the trier of fact. 16 ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: 17 1. General damages in excess of \$25,000; 2. Special damages in excess of \$25,000; 18 19 3. Economic damages in excess of \$25,000; 20 Consequential damages in excess of \$25,000; 4. 21 5. Interest on the sum of damages awarded; 22 6. Costs incurred: and 7. 23 Any and all other relief deemed appropriate by the trier of fact. 24 WOODS & KROLIKOWSKI 25 26 Dated: March 10, 2010 Adam J. Krolikowski for 27 Plaintiff JOSEPH D. VENTIMIGLIA 28