

The 2011-2012 Assessment Proxy results are:

Approve \$953	87	38% of the votes
Disapprove \$953	140	61% of the votes
Blank (Empty)	2	1% of the votes
Total Votes	229	63% of the eligible votes (lots)

The \$830 Assessment remains.

We appreciate your comments:

The 4 dissenting votes speak very loudly to me!

We appreciate your comments:

I am here 6-7 weeks / year. Have asked for bridge to pond fixed for 10 years for access by grand children.

Park trees are not properly treated for termites and weak trees are ignored.

I have paid dues since mid 60's and cannot see changes for the better with ongoing elevation in dues.

We appreciate your comments:

Please do everything possible to keep assessment as low
as possible. Fixed income !!!

We appreciate your comments:

to much as is. We have all had to take
cuts in pay and make cuts in lifestyle.
It's called living within a budget

We appreciate your comments:

This is a recession people are having
a hard enough time paying their bills.
Live within your budget.

We appreciate your comments:

Finally - a Home Owners Board to represent
All of the Home owners -

Regarding the Grader - when this
Assessment ~~is~~ was taken, we were told
whatever money was left Home Owners
would get a refund - WHAT happened to
our Refund - where is that money -

We appreciate your comments:

Why is there one more
from previous years?

We appreciate your comments:

Why is there duplication in accounting + professional fees? Could itemize bills in a PDF on web site. Why 5000.00 for recreation? need more clarity + disclosure with expenses

What is being done with road maintenance to justify the huge increase from 2010-2011 budget? \$15,908 → \$66,520

We appreciate your comments:

TOO MANY BUDGET ITEMS HAVE BEEN EXPONENTIALLY INCREASED.

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

NEEDS EXPENSES

MAINTENANCE ROADS

MAINTENANCE WATER

NO EXPLANATION OFFERED.

We appreciate your comments:

Where's my refund from the grades?

My street sign is spelled wrong

it should be Jonathan

We appreciate your comments:

The spending needs to be carefully checked and next year's budget based on only those expenses actually owed by the homeowners. ~~Right~~ ^{Bein} in the spending.

We appreciate your comments:

Suggest you scale back your budget to do only what's necessary. ~~The~~ lot-owners (especially ones on fixed incomes) have had to cut back in their daily lives -

There's a recession going on!

We appreciate your comments:

Too much for part time residents -
Let year round residents pay more
We Are retired on fixed income. It does not go up!

We appreciate your comments:

Prevent your the federal government and
make cuts! Don't print more money. Control
spending is the only way -

We appreciate your comments:

We as homeowners still do not know what bills the recreation board paid with the \$50,000 good faith money of ours. It is unacceptable if any was used for lawyer fee's, 3 months of logging expenses, work done on the illegally established dog park, work done on the boy scout camp, water used wastefully on watering weeds, dumpster fee's from the snack shack debris and bark from Larry Jones trees used to cover up the debris. The recreation board did not set a very good example.

over -

to the home owners and licensed contractors that work in the park. Did the recreation board get a building permit, a small business license, inspection from the health department. Mrs. Reynolds said it best at the August homeowners meeting, but this is the way it has always been done. Guess what Mrs. Reynolds, not anymore. I for one refuse. I personally think that it is time to contact the Attorney General and start a Class Action Law Suit and get back the money that has been taken from us illegally over the past 40 plus years.

We appreciate your comments:

I feel the assessment charged for "unincorporated" property should be less than the property with cabins/houses. I feel that the people who live there full-time should be assessed more than the people who own lots without any structure on them, as the people living there or visiting quite often are the people utilizing the services. Their share should be somewhat higher than those not utilizing any services -

Thank you -

We appreciate your comments:

WE UNDERSTAND THE SERVICES DO NOT COME FREE. HOWEVER, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE ECONOMIC SITUATION, THE SHARP DROP IN PROPERTY VALUES AND THE FACT THAT NON-FULL TIME RESIDENTS DO NOT REQUIRE SOME OF THESE SERVICES ON A DAILY BASIS, WE FEEL THAT FULL TIME RESIDENTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO PAY A GREATER FEE THAN THOSE OF US WHO DO NOT LIVE THERE ON A DAY TO DAY BASIS. THE HOME OWNERS FEE HAS ALREADY DOUBLED, SOME OF US HAVE NOT RECEIVED PAY RAISES IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS, IN FACT WE HAVE TAKEN PAY CUTS!

THANK YOU.

We appreciate your comments:

ARE YOU KIDDING

THIS IS NOT THE YEAR TO
RAISE ANYTHING!!

We appreciate your comments:

I think it's a bad idea to raise the annual assessment when everyone else is cutting back, going out of business and tightening their belts. There are currently a lot of places up for sale and if the rates continue to increase it will cost too much to stay in the park and there might be more places up for sale.

Thank you for considering my opinion on this matter.

We appreciate your comments:

WE DO NOT HAVE A CABIN ON OUR LOT. I WOULD
LIKE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE CABINS AND USE THE
SERVICES (WATER, PLOWING, ETC.) TO PAY MORE THAN
THE MEMBERS WITH LOTS ONLY.

We appreciate your comments:

You have the guts to ask for more money, Wake up and look around you! The people should not tolerate individuals like yourself, you prey on the people that love and respect you. I hope you should all be ashamed of yourself. GET YOUR DEBT IN ORDER but not on OUR EXPENSE! In a way I feel sorry for all you white self serving organisms.

We appreciate your comments:

The motion made at the Annual meeting concerning the budget required a special committee made up of members from each board as well as any interested homeowners. Was this committee formed? In addition, the motion required that last year's taxes ~~be~~ were to be audited to see if there was any over payment. Was this issue addressed? Those individuals who supposedly created this budget includes an individual who presently is being sued and has an attorney that is being paid for by OFSRA; which means the "professional services" line item is already overdrawn by \$500.00. TALK about a conflict of interest. TALK was cheap at the Annual meeting.

We appreciate your comments:

Too many hands in the cash can.
Spending too freely

We appreciate your comments:

IT'S TOO SOON TO COMMENT!

We appreciate your comments:

- Why has employee benefits gone from \$899 to \$12,000. Does employee pay any out of pocket for benefits?
- Why is employee salaries gone up \$13,000 in 3 years?
- Why has rental income for caretaker's cabin not increased in 3 years? Is this part of compensation?

We appreciate your comments:

THE SCRAMBLING AMONG THE BOARD/BOARDS
RECENTLY WITH ALL THE APPARENT IN-FIGHTING &
VERBAL DIRT THROWN AMONG YOU IS COMPARABLE
TO RECENT POLITICAL EVENTS. ACT RESPONSIBLY,
DON'T SPEND MORE THAN U TAKE IN AND
ACT LIKE ADULTS. I NO LONGER KNOW
WHO IS RUNNING THE PARK. IT APPEARS THE
FALMATS ARE RUNNING THE ASYLUM !!!

We appreciate your comments:

We think the assessment should remain \$830.00. The snow plowing during winter months with permits so high we can't park by our cabin when at the best 5 years the spot in front was plowed. I don't think the playground assessment was sanitized after human waste was all over it. This is all we are paying!

We appreciate your comments:

FRONT BUDGET PAGE HAS \$14,100 IN ITEMS DUPLICATED ON
BACK PAGE. ITEMS: ACCOUNTING, MEMBER COMMUNICATIONS,
OFFICE SUPPLIES AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

We appreciate your comments:

IF \$830 was enough in 2010-2011 and the 2011-2012
Budget is smaller then \$830 should be enough
for the 2011-2012 Budget year.

We appreciate your comments:

How did employee benefits go from \$899.00 to \$12,000.00 in 2 years?

Payroll labor went from \$41,738.00 to \$54,000.00; Did we hire another person?

We appreciate your comments:

I realize it takes money to keep our park this beautiful. There has to be way to eliminate some of the non-essential expenses that became attached to our park budget. My home in the park has been here since 1976. Dues were \$200⁰⁰ a year and the members did a lot of up keep themselves.

Thanks for any efforts you make to keep our dues affordable.

We appreciate your comments:

APPRECIATE ALL YOUR HARD WORK!

We appreciate your comments:

Based on the review of the proposed budget it appears poor planning has led to the need for an increase in fees as well as poor upkeep to the grounds in the past.

All of which should be corrected first prior to allowing those who made these choices to have even more money for their care. Fix the underlying issues first

don't just cover with more budget increases.

*Our current economic crisis is an exact example of what you are proposing!

We appreciate your comments:

We are now in our 80's and cannot come to our EMPTY lot very often. A lot of us are retired now. Had dreams of our property which went unfulfilled. Mr. Beth talked us into the lot & we hoped to build but --

The park is so improved but wish we were younger!

Sincerely, Betty D.
(22428 Goliath)

We appreciate your comments:

I am sorry to have to vote no. But as long as Charles Varvayanis is on a board and causing us to spend our money unnecessarily on legal fees I have no choice. We pay an assessment to operate our beautiful park and I am fully in agreement with that.

We appreciate your comments:

1. The reason the park is in a financial mess is due to outsourcing the caretakers responsibilities. **WHY!** Snow can't be used as an excuse, we've had great weather for 4 1/2 months!
2. Our legal fees this past year are outrageous!
3. Whatever happened to our promised road grader refund?

We appreciate your comments:

We are not against the \$123.00 increase, we are against the method of using a proxy to determine the increase. This is poor financial reasoning at best. The assessment has always been decided at the Annual Meeting by those in attendance & it should have been left that way. Proxies solicit a lot of opinions that are ignorant of the facts. That is why we have Annual Meetings.

We appreciate your comments:

This spending situation is UNBELIEVABLE -
get it under CONTROL!! We as Home Owners pay
plenty!! Pls do not raise this!! It's ridiculous!!

\$830.00 per year is more than what
is required.

We appreciate your comments:

Unclear how budget proposals were determined.

We appreciate your comments:

From what I understand from other members is that the caretaker is paid \$20 / hr + receives stipends for fuel (propane) & electricity. This expenditure needs to be modified to reflect what others with his skills are paid. He is not a professional after all. There needs to be less use of outside paid help & he needs to assume more of the work burden that he is paid to do. No more money towards his propane & utilities.

We appreciate your comments:

These budget include nice lot and Road

We appreciate your comments:

LOOKS LIKE WE'RE DOING SAME THING
AS USA GOV. AND CALIF. GOV. ARE DOING.
SPENDING MONEY YOU DON'T HAVE. PLEASE IN
THIS ECONOMY TIMES REIN IN YOUR SPENDING

We appreciate your comments:

Maintenance costs across the board are increasing at incredible rates which I don't feel is appropriate. I think the board needs to review the budget again and focus on reducing the dues rather than increasing them.

We appreciate your comments:

I don't appreciate you throwing my last proxy vote away because I didn't send Photo I.D. for board member vote. Now you don't need photo I.D. for this vote that goes to show you how screwed up you guys are. Our assessments should not go up because of your poor spending and throwing out plowing and maintenance on machinery that ~~our~~ our caretaker should be doing. I'm against buying the scout camp. Common sense goes a long way. Try it.

We appreciate your comments:

SAVE THE WILD FLOWER
NO MOWING & WATERING & MOWING THE
MEADOW.

We appreciate your comments:

IT'S TEA MUNCH NOW!

We appreciate your comments:

LET'S ATTEMPT TO KEEP COSTS DOWN.

RATES CONTINUE TO GO UP FROM WHAT APPEARS
TO BE POOR MANAGEMENT AND RECKLESS
SPENDING

We appreciate your comments:

I cannot, at this time approve any monies above the \$1830 already committed.

As an Odd Fellow and Homeowner I am embarrassed by the OFSRA in their disregard for Homeowner Input.

When all the new leases are negotiated and agreed to I will be pleased to entertain an additional charge for my assessment.

Thanks to Home Owners Board for trying to sort out this mess. → over

many issues continue to cloud the operation of this beautiful Park:

- 1) When I talked with Grand Lodge, they told me they had absolutely no connection to O.F.S.R.A - NONE - yet the O.F.S.R.A operates in a manner that projects an I.O.O.F connection. I would like to see all I.O.O.F symbols (3 links) removed from this park and a letter from O.F.S.R.A to all Homeowners stating they are not affiliated with Grand Lodge - The I.O.O.F days in this park are over and as an Odd Fellow I am upset with the way O.F.S.R.A has ~~sown~~ sowed discord in this community.
- 2) Until the O.F.S.R.A gives us a clear and concise itemized bill for services rendered we (Homeowners) should hold their feet to the fire - let them make up difference with timber fund money until they can clearly denote what \$ are needed to maintain their holdings (cabin for caretaker etc).
- 3) Homeowners Board should be clearly in the "drivers seat". I want to see a clear cost analysis for snow plowing, maintenance of lake, Road maintenance etc - In short the services the homeowners need and use.
- 4) Discussion of a Permanent Caretaker - Personalities aside, time maybe has come to consider independent contracting for a) snow plowing b) Calvert cleaning c) mowing d) Road maintenance.
Since Dale's passing we've not had good luck with any caretakers and seem to need outside contractors more & more. Maybe it's time to get bids for services rendered to Homeowners needs. If O.F.S.R.A wants a permanent caretaker they could hire their own

We appreciate your comments:

Are you going to check the budget
quarterly to see how it is holding up?

We appreciate your comments:

*Don't be like city, state & federal governments
Stay within budget!*

We appreciate your comments:

I do not think the Budget for HOA is needed.
We believe the HOA is damaging our Park.
Dual expenses i: newsletter, insurance &
recreation are not necessary.

The boards should cooperate but members of this
Board are vindictive and do not have the interest
of the homeowners as their goal.

We appreciate your comments:

We All Need To Do More, with A
Little Less - Let's be Creative,
& Work Together To The Fullist of
our Abilities.

The Kagay's Thankyou For All
Your HARD WORK -
GOD Bless

We appreciate your comments:

Since we have 2 lots, one of which
is vacant we already feel we pay too much
as it is.

We appreciate your comments:

There are many things that got
omitted from the budget
see previous budgets.

also we should live by ~~not~~ what we have
we should not have variances
on our board.

We appreciate your comments:

We have two (2) lots on Rebecca Road. One has a cabin on it and the other is a vacant lot. We pay the same assessment on both lots which we think is unreasonable. We do not have water, garbage, traffic, nor any activity on the vacant lot. Therefore, we see no reason why the assessment should be the same on both lots. If anything, the assessment on the vacant lot should be much less.

Any consideration would certainly be appreciated.

We appreciate your comments:

Assessment is a negative according to real estate people. Potential buyers may judge the park's assessment a reason to walk away from a sale of my property. I plan on selling after contractors complete their work. This assessment plus property taxes may become a deal killer. I hope this does not ^{prove} to be the case.

We appreciate your comments:

I see 3 items of concern 1- Equip. Reserve \$10,000 not in budget before
2- Road maintenance \$66,520 3- Water maintenance \$10,000, about
3 times prior years.

We appreciate your comments:

as per Tuolumne County, they say we
own one lot, you say we own two lots

Who is correct?

This budget is setting both Boards up for failure. The increased cost of needle removal and tree removal will be higher than ever due to last years heavy winter. There will also be the increased cost of the new homeowners Board, their insurance, and other costs of running this Board. Based on the last year there will also be legal costs that will need to be paid. Water system and road maintenance costs. The motion at the annual meeting was that the homeowners board and homeowners would create a committee for the new budget, was this done? It makes no sense to make a budget that is less than last year with all these additional expenses.

Comments on Budget and Assessment Proxy Statement

We do not object to a raise in the yearly assessment per se but rather to the proposed allocation of funds in the revised OFSHA budget.

At this time the interpretation of structure and governance of the OFSHA Board appears to be exceeding its purpose and appears to be usurping the responsibilities and judgment of the OFSRA Board.

RE: July 9, 2011 OFSHA Newsletter

Finance: items #4 & 5

Requesting itemized bills from OFSRA board on a monthly basis to be reviewed, approved and then funds released to OFSRA. This is unwieldy. All money from assessments should be made directly available to the OFSRA board for efficient operation of the park. Bills need to be paid in a timely manner. We object to the 7/11/11 disbursement of funds characterized as a “good faith” payment.

Communications:

Extra cost to provide newsletters for each Board is unnecessary. Newsletter should be a combination of both Board's activities.

Ethics platform:

What/whose ethics are being challenged? Why?

Lot inspection:

When lots were inspected the last time by volunteers the results were overzealous and many mistakes were made in assessing the possible hazards. Private property should not be entered without the homeowner's approval

We approve the draft only IF the OSHA starts revising the only FOR the main to apply the provisions can be

The OFSRA should not have to borrow money from other funds to run the park. That is what the money we have paid is for!! A few people on the OFSRA need to put aside their personal issues and start working with the other Board. It was clear at the Annual Meeting the homeowners want the conflict to stop!

We appreciate your comments:

We are concerned the OFSHA Board has not signed the water & license agreement. At the Annual Meeting the homeowners present or by proxy rejected the "Voices" proposal to delay the acceptance of the Water & License agreements. There was a motion to adopt both agreements & the homeowners voted to accept both agreements. All homeowners were mailed or emailed a copy of both agreements several weeks before the Annual Meeting to review. For the newly elected Board (who has several "Voices members") to ignore the wishes of the membership is not only wrong but we suspect unlawful. No where does it state this new Board can overrule the membership vote!!

We appreciate your comments:

Road maintenance increase seems excessive + 50,000.00 -
All roads look good.

Payroll Labor - no one I know of has received a raise in 4 years.

Employee Benefits - employees are paying all increases in
benefit premiums.

We appreciate your comments:

Can you say "fail out"? If I have to exercise budgetary discipline, why can't you? I was extremely disappointed at the Annual Meeting. I felt I was a pariah in your camp.

But whole mess is more difficult for those with multiple lots... especially now that county codes make it increasingly hostile to prospective builders

We appreciate your comments:

Ever since Memorial Day, we've noticed a drop in water pressure
at 25075 Deborah. It's low at first then pressure increases over
time. Still not as high as it once was. Could someone check on this?

We appreciate your comments:

Are we really getting our monies-worth with the caretaker? It seems to me that the \$68K Salary & Benefits would be better spent on outside maintenance service. Also, the equipment maintenance would be much less and we could save money.

We appreciate your comments:

The absence of Road Reserve is puzzling, but I
assume at the point you had a very good reason.
Benefit of the doubt is a good thing, right?

We appreciate your comments:

We are grateful for the Board's efforts to support the homeowners' wishes for strict budgeting, while still maintaining the ~~ability~~ means to respond to unanticipated demands. As last winter demonstrated so clearly, it is not possible to plan ahead for every eventuality - and we trust the Board's good judgement in applying funds where needed. Thank you for all your hard work to make our Park a safe and enjoyable haven.

We appreciate your comments:

Everything has to go up. A far cry from when
we first bought. \$25 plus \$12 water hookup / year.

We appreciate your comments:

22149 Sarah Circle

Please keep the park going. The membership is why we bought here in the first place.

We appreciate your comments:

- ① Please work out a five & ten year financial plan.
- ② Please consider adding a wi-fi "hotspot" at the lodge.
- ③ Please consider paying sex-offender to leave park. Investigate options for avoiding this in future, such as criminal background checks on renters, etc.
Use "Park Safety Fund"

We appreciate your comments:

We would have appreciated some additional information regarding the changes. That's why this is so late - we kept hoping there would be some additional information.

For example - having the road maint. amount increase almost \$50K merits some explanation. We're not necessarily against it, it just would have been nice to have some accompanying info.
... and yes we did attend the annual meeting.

We appreciate your comments:

None at this time.

We appreciate your comments:

THANKS FOR ALL THE BOARD'S EFFORTS!

BEST REGARDS,

We appreciate your comments:

SELL MORE TIMBER

We appreciate your comments:

I appreciate all your efforts. The park is my favorite place to be.

We appreciate your comments:

We think if you would remove Charles Varrayanian from the board you would save the park a lot of money. It is our opinion that he has a Napoleon complex with no ~~genuine~~ genuine people skills.

We appreciate your comments:

Thanks to Mr. Engvall for his clarification to me of the
confusing part of the budget presentation.

We appreciate your comments:

My concerns were the Service from the caretaker
and crew. This is the first year I had to park at the lake
because they did not plow my RD. (Johnathon LN) 3 different
times. The last time was 2nd week of May, I limped
down the hill and saw the caretaker's house and plow
idle when they could of kept plowing roads. When the tree
fell on David and took out the power pole, all the debris from
the tree on the street was pushed into my yard, this is
the first year since 1983 that the service was this poor.